ARTICLE IN PRESS

Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Bone



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bone

¹ Original Full Length Article

Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance load-induced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner

Q14 Paul J. Niziolek ^{a,b}, Matthew L. Warman ^{c,d}, Alexander G. Robling ^{a,e,*}

5 ^a Department of Anatomy & Cell Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

6 ^b Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

7 ^c Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

^d Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

9 e Department of Biomedical Engineering, Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA

ARTICLE INFO

12	Article history:
13	Received 21 March 2012
14	Revised 8 May 2012
15	Accepted 25 May 2012
16	Available online xxxx
17	
18	Edited by: Robert Recker
29	
22	Keywords:
23	Wnt
24	Lrp5
25	HBM
26	Loading
27	Mechanotransduction
28	Osteoporosis

47

10

11

46

Q5

Q648 Introduction

49 Mechanical loading of bone induces adaptive changes in the bone 50 structure and geometry, achieved by altered bone resorption and formation activity [1,2]. In vivo experiments in rodents show that exog-51enous mechanical loading of bone tissue leads to increased 52transcription of WNT/B-catenin responsive genes and reporter mole-5354cules in osteocytes, and that unloading of bone leads to decreased WNT/ β -catenin signaling due to increased sclerostin expression [3–5]. 55These results have been confirmed in vitro, where cultured bone cells 5657express WNT/β-catenin responsive genes in response to mechanical stimulation [4,6]. An important component of the WNT/β-catenin sig-58 naling pathway in bone is the low density lipoprotein receptor-5960 related protein 5 (LRP5), a WNT co-receptor that plays a major role 61in bone mass regulation in humans and mice [7–11].

* Corresponding author at: Department of Anatomy & Cell Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, 635 Barnhill Dr., MS 5035, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. Fax: +1 317 278 2040.

E-mail address: arobling@iupui.edu (A.G. Robling).

8756-3282/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Published by Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

ABSTRACT

Mechanotransduction in bone requires components of the Wnt signaling pathway to produce structurally 29 adapted bone elements. In particular, the Wnt co-receptor LDL-receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) appears 30 to be a crucial protein in the mechanotransduction cascades that translate physical tissue deformation into 31 new bone formation. Recently discovered missense mutations in LRP5 are associated with high bone mass 32 (HBM), and the altered function of these proteins provide insight into LRP5 function in many skeletal pro- 33 cesses, including mechanotransduction. We further investigated the role of LRP5 in bone cell 34 mechanotransduction by applying mechanical stimulation in vivo to two different mutant mouse lines, 35 which harbor HBM-causing missense mutations in Lrp5. Axial tibia loading was applied to mature male 36 Lrp5 G171V and Lrp5 A214V knock-in mice, and to their wild type controls. Fluorochrome labeling revealed 37 that 3 days of loading resulted in a significantly enhanced periosteal response in the A214V knock in mice, 38 whereas the G171V mice exhibited a lowered osteogenic threshold on the endocortical surface. In summary, 39 our data further highlight the importance of Lrp5 in bone cell mechanotransduction, and indicate that the 40 HBM-causing mutations in Lrp5 can alter the anabolic response to mechanical stimulation in favor of in-41 creased bone gain.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Inc. 43

Beyond its general role in bone metabolism, LRP5 is necessary 62 for load induced bone formation. We previously reported that 63 Lrp5 knock-out mice have an almost complete ablation of the ana- 64 bolic response to mechanical loading of the ulna, compared to 65 wild-type (WT) relatives [12]. These effects have been confirmed 66

45

bolic response to mechanical loading of the ulna, compared to 65 wild-type (WT) relatives [12]. These effects have been confirmed 66 in another, independently generated Lrp5 knockout mouse, using 67 a different loading model [13]. Moreover, clinical data also support 68 the role of LRP5 signaling in regulating bone mechanotransduction. 69 In a large human sample, Kiel et al. reported that several single 70 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in LRP5, located in exons 10 71 and 18, significantly affected the relation between physical activity 72 and bone mass accrual [14]. Collectively, these observations indi-73 cate that LRP5 is a critical component of the mechanical signaling 74 cascade in bone. 75

Certain missense mutations near the N-terminus of LRP5 have 76 been reported to cause a high bone mass (HBM) phenotype in 77 humans [8,9,15,16]. In vitro experiments in cell lines transfected 78 with LRP5 HBM mutations revealed that the mutation confers resis- 79 tance to the endogenous Lrp5 antagonists, Dkk1 [8,17,18] and 80 sclerostin [19–23]. The observed resistance to these and other Lrp5 81 inhibitors might be the mechanism by which the HBM phenotype 82 emerges in humans and mice. 83

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

 $[\]stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{\to}$ This work was supported by NIH grant AR53237 (to AGR), and by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (to MLW).

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Niziolek et al. / Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Several years ago, a mouse model for the LRP5 HBM phenotype 84 85 was generated using a transgenic overexpression approach. Those mice harbor a transgene coding for the human LRP5 G171V HBM mu-86 87 tation, driven by a 3.6 kb fragment of the rat Coll α I promoter [7]. This mouse strain exhibits significantly increased bone mineral density, 88 similar to that seen in humans. Overall, the mice have higher bone 89 structural strength (ultimate force, yield force, and stiffness) and ap-90 91 parent material properties (ultimate stress, yield stress, and flexural 92 modulus) [24]. This mouse was also reported to have an increased 93 sensitivity to load, due to a lower threshold for initiating bone formation [4,13]. More recently, we reported the development of two Lrp5 94HBM mouse models, in which we have knocked-in the G171V or 95A214V missense mutations into the endogenous Lrp5 sequence 96 97 [25,26]. These mice express the HBM mutant receptors at normal levels and in normal (naturally occurring) tissues, due to retention 98 of the endogenous Lrp5 promoter driving transcription. Similar to 99 the HBM patients, we have found that both knock-in mouse lines 100 have a strong HBM phenotype. 101

The non-invasive rodent axial tibial-loading model has been de-102 veloped to apply a controlled mechanical load to the tibia through 103 the knee and ankle joints [27,28]. This model presents an alternative 104 to the ulnar loading model, which directly applies a load to the ulna at 105 106 the proximal end. Because the axial tibia loading model applies force to the tibia through the proximal and distal joint surfaces, the loading 107 environment might more closely approximate the physiological ap-108 plication of load. 109

In the present communication, we investigated the cortical bone 110 111 formation response in Lrp5 G171V and A214V knock-in and wildtype (WT) mice, after application of an equivalent mechanical stimu-112 lus using the non-invasive tibial-loading model. We hypothesized 113 that both HBM-causing mutations would result in larger load-114 115induced bone formation parameters compared to the WT mice. We 116found that A214V mice had significantly greater periosteal bone formation compared to WT at the proximal and midshaft locations of 117 the tibia. However, periosteal bone formation at all sites in G171V 118 mice was not significantly greater than was observed in WT mice. 119 On the endocortical surface, we observed a significant load-induced 120 121 upregulation of bone formation only in the G171V mice, indicating that G171V mice may have a lower strain threshold for bone forma-122tion. In summary, our data further highlight the importance of Lrp5 123in bone cell mechanotransduction, and indicate that the HBM-124

causing mutations in Lrp5 can alter the anabolic response to mechanical stimulation in favor of increased bone gain. 126

Materials and methods

Animals

Generation of knock-in mice with the A214V and G171V mutations 129 in Lrp5 has been described previously [25]. Briefly, two targeting con- 130 structs spanning introns 2-4 were generated, which harbored the 131 G171V or A214V mutation located in exon 3. The constructs were intro- 132 duced into mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells, and standard selection 133 techniques were used to identify clones in which the construct properly 134 recombined into the endogenous Lrp5 sequence. The ES cells were 135 implanted into pseudopregnant females, and chimeric pups were 136 identified and bred using standard techniques. The mice were bred 137 to homozygosity (Lrp5^{+/+} [designated as WT], Lrp5^{A214V/A214V} [desig- 138 nated as Lrp5 A214V], or Lrp5^{G171V/G171V} [designated as Lrp5 G171V]). 139 The genetic background of all mice was a uniform mixture of 129S1/ 140 SvIMJ and C57Bl/6J. All animal procedures performed in accordance 141 with guidelines set by the Indiana University Institutional Animal 142 Care and Use Committee. 143

Strain gage measurements

Four 18 week-old male mice homozygous for one of the three 145 Lrp5 genotypes (WT, A214V, G171V) were sacrificed and right 146 hindlimb was frozen at -20 °C until strain gage testing. Limbs were 147 allowed to warm to room temperature over several hours and muscle 148 tissue was carefully dissected away to reveal the midshaft tibia. A 149 strain gage (EA-06-015DJ-120, Vishay) was applied to midshaft of 150 tibia on the posterior surface (surface between tibia and fibula) and 151 the tibia was placed into the loading cups (Figs. 1A and B). We deter- 152 mined the microstrain:load ratio for each sample using progressively 153 increasing load applications while simultaneously recording the volt- 154 age output from the load cell and strain gage. All tests were averaged 155 within each genotype to determine the microstrain:load ratio for 156 each genotype. A peak microstrain value of 2120 was chosen to be ap- 157 plied to all genotypes and this corresponded to peak loads of 9.0, 14.4, 158 and 9.8 N for WT, A214V, G171V genotypes, respectively (Fig. 1A). 159



Fig. 1. A) X-ray of excised tibia with strain gage attached at the posterior midshaft (surface between tibia and fibula). Gages were placed on 4 specimens for each Lrp5 genotype (WT, A214V, G171V) and each specimen was tested 1–4 times to determine the microstrain (με) to compressive force ratio. B) X-ray of excised lower limb in loading cups. C) The με:force ratio for the tibial midshaft as determined from strain gage testing. A peak value of 2120 με was chosen to apply to the three genotypes in the tibia loading experiment. This peak strain corresponded to a peak force of 9.0 N, 9.8 N, and 14.4 N in the WT, G171V, and A214V mice, respectively.

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

127

128

144

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Niziolek et al. / Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

160 Loading protocol

At 18 weeks of age, 8 male mice of each Lrp5 genotype (WT, 161 162A214V, G171V) began the axial tibia loading protocol. Mice were anesthetized using isoflurane inhalation, and their right hindlimb 163 (knee to foot) was placed in molded loading cups that secured the 164tibia (Fig. 1B). A sinusoidal wave form (2 Hz, 120 cycles) was applied 165with a peak load as described above. Mice were given three bouts 166 167 with a day of rest between each bout. Intraperitoneal injection of alizarin was given 1 day after the final bout followed by an intraperitone-168 169al injection of calcein 8 days later. Mice were sacrificed 17 days after the final bout. The right and left tibias were harvested and placed in 170 10% NBF for 2 days followed by storage in 70% ethanol at 4 °C. 171

172 Histological processing and measurements

Tibias were dehydrated in graded alcohols, cleared in xylene, and 173embedded in methylmethacrylate following standard protocols. 174 Thick-cut sections were taken at locations 25% (proximal), 50% 175(midshaft), and 75% (distal) of total tibia length and ground down 176 to \sim 30 μ m. A single unstained section from each tibia was digitally 177 imaged on a fluorescent microscope using filter sets that provide 178 179 excitation and emission for the calcein and alizarin wavelengths (Fig. 2). Digital images were imported into ImagePro Express 180 (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) and the following 181 histomorphometric measurements were recorded for the endosteal 182 and periosteal surfaces: total perimeter, single label perimeter 183 184 (sL.Pm), double label area and perimeter, total bone area and marrow area. The following results were calculated: double label perimeter 185(dL.Pm = double label circumference/2), mineral apposition rate 186 (MAR = double label area/dL.Pm/8 days), mineralizing surface (MS/187 188 $BS = (0.5 \times sL.Pm + dL.Pm)/total perimeter \times 100)$, and bone forma-189 tion rate (BFR/BS = MAR \times MS/BS \times 3.65)

190 Statistical methods

191 Measurements comparing right (loaded) vs left (non-loaded) 192 bones were analyzed for statistical significance using a paired stu-193 dent's *t*-test. Measurements comparing genotypes used relative 194 values, calculated by subtracting the nonloaded (left leg) values from the loaded (right leg) values, to account for differences within 195 a mouse. Student's *t*-test was used to compare Lrp5 HBM mice to 196 WT mice. Significance was taken at p < 0.05. 197

Results

Control limb bone formation parameters are similar among Lrp5 WT, 199 A214V, and G171V mice at 20 wks of age 200

To assess baseline bone formation rates among the three Lrp5 ge- 201 notypes, left (nonloaded) tibial bone formation parameters were 202 measured and compared. Mineral apposition rates (MAR), mineraliz- 203 ing surface (MS/BS), and bone formation rates (BFR/BS) were similar 204 among all three genotypes, for both endocortical and periosteal sur- 205 faces and at all three diaphyseal locations, with one exception 206 (Table 1). The only parameter that was significantly affected by geno- 207 type was periosteal MS/BS at the proximal diaphyseal location. Post- 208 hoc tests revealed that the Lrp5 G214V mice had significantly reduced 209 (p=0.02) proximal tibia periosteal MS/BS, compared to WT mice. 210

 Mechanical loading increases periosteal bone formation in the tibia, and
 211

 Lrp5 A214V mice have an increased load-induced periosteal bone
 212

 formation response compared to WT mice
 213

The axial tibia loading model induced a significant increase in peri- 214 osteal bone formation in the loaded limb compared to non-loaded 215 limb in all three genotypes examined (Table 1). However, this effect 216 was observed only for the proximal and midshaft locations, but not 217 at the distal location (with the exception of increased periosteal 218 MAR in the A214V mice). Comparison of the loading response in 219 HBM mice to that measured for WT mice was facilitated by calculating 220 relative (r) bone formation parameters for each mouse; i.e., sub- 221 tracting the loaded (right) limb parameters from the corresponding 222 non-loaded (left) limb to account for baseline differences within an 223 animal. Compared to WT mice, A214V mice had significantly increased 224 MAR, MS/BS, and BFR/BS at the proximal site, and significantly in- 225 creased MAR and BFR/BS at the midshaft, but no significant differences 226 from WT at the distal site (Fig. 3). Relative periosteal bone formation 227 parameters among the G171V mice were not significantly different 228 from those measured in the WT mice, at all three diaphyseal locations. 229



Fig. 2. Mosaic images of non-loaded (left) and loaded (right) midshaft tibias for WT, A214V, and G171V mice. Upper panels magnify (300%) the respective areas highlighted below them (left: periosteal surface; right: endosteal surface).

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

3

198

P.I. Niziolek et al. / Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Table 1

t1.2

Summary of tibial dynamic histomorphometric parameters from right and left limbs, at 02 03 periosteal and endocortical surfaces, and at three diaphyseal locations.

.3	Region			
.4	Surface			
.5	Lrp5 genotype	MAR	MS/BS	BFR/BS
.6	Side	(µm/day)	(%)	(µm²/µm²/yr)
.7	Proximal location			
.8	Periosteal surface			
.9	WT			
.10	Right (loaded)	0.30 ± 0.06	80 ± 11	94 ± 22
.11	Left (control)	$0.62 \pm 0.07^{*}$	$143 \pm 21^*$	$349 \pm 86^{*}$
.12	A214V			
.13	Right (loaded)	0.35 ± 0.03	67 ± 14	88±23
14	Left (control)	$0.91 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$192 \pm 9^{*}$	$646 \pm 54^{*}$
15	G171V			
16	Right (loaded)	0.26 ± 0.07	36 ± 7	43 ± 13
17	Left (control)	0.70 ± 0.05	143 ± 18	$381 \pm 69^{\circ}$
18	Midshaft location			
.9	Periosteal surface			
20	WI Bight (loaded)	0.20 + 0.04	E0 7	57 14
21	Loft (control)	0.29 ± 0.04	50 ± 7	37 ± 14 $146 \pm 22^{*}$
14 22		0.40 ± 0.00	/5±/	140 ± 25
20 24	Right (loaded)	0.21 ± 0.04	45 + 9	42 ± 16
/±)5	Left (control)	0.21 ± 0.04	43 ± 3 88 $\pm 3^{*}$	42 ± 10 192 $\pm 20^{*}$
26	G171V	0.33 ± 0.03	00 1 5	152 ± 20
27	Right (loaded)	0.23 ± 0.05	35 ± 6	32 + 6
8	Left (control)	$0.51 \pm 0.06^{*}$	$77 \pm 5^*$	$151 \pm 27^{*}$
9	Endocortical surface			
0	WT			
1	Right (loaded)	0.36 ± 0.05	36 ± 10	50 ± 17
2	Left (control)	0.44 ± 0.07	49 ± 7	90 ± 23
3	A214V			
4	Right (loaded)	0.35 ± 0.07	29 ± 5	40 ± 9
j	Left (control)	0.45 ± 0.05	32 ± 5	52 ± 10
;	G171V			
'	Right (loaded)	0.27 ± 0.06	28 ± 4	30 ± 11
	Left (control)	$0.45 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$48 \pm 6^{+}$	$83 \pm 15^{\circ}$
,	Distal location			
1	Periosteal surface			
1	WI	0.10 - 0.00	12	05 4 4 5
2	Right (loaded)	0.16 ± 0.06	43 ± 8	35 ± 17
3	Left (control)	0.22 ± 0.05	42±/	40 ± 14
1	AZ14V Bight (loaded)	0.10 + 0.06	24 + 12	42 - 22
)	Kight (loaded)	0.19 ± 0.06	34 ± 13	43 ± 23
	C171V	0.20 ± 0.00	4/±9	50±19
2	Right (loaded)	0.08 ± 0.03	13 ± 5	7 ± 4
	Left (control)	0.00 ± 0.00	15 ± 3 25 ± 10	$\frac{7}{21} + 1$
'n	Endocortical surface	0.10 ± 0.00	23 ± 10	51 1
Í	WT			
2	Right (loaded)	0.37 ± 0.03	38 + 5	54 + 9
	Left (control)	0.46 ± 0.04	$36 \pm 36 \pm$	61 + 9
	A214V	····		
	Right (loaded)	0.28 ± 0.07	47 ± 5	53 ± 15
	Left (control)	0.37 ± 0.08	43 ± 9	73 ± 20
	G171V			
	Right (loaded)	0.16 ± 0.08	31 ± 15	48 ± 29
	Left (control)	0.26 ± 0.07	41 ± 11	57 ± 23
	Mean + standard error are	reported		
	THE REPORT OF TH			

O4t1 60

p<0.05 paired *t*-test nonloaded (left) vs loaded (right) limb.

Lrp5 G171V mice require less strain to activate load-induced 230

endocortical bone formation 231

In WT mice, we were unable to detect a significant increase in bone 232formation parameters on the endocortical surface of the loaded tibiae 233compared to the same surface in the control tibiae. A similar lack of 234load-responsiveness was found on the endocortical surface of A214V 235tibiae. However, the loaded limbs from G171V mice had significantly 236increased endocortical bone formation parameters at the midshaft 237238 location compared to the non-loaded limb (Table 1). It is unclear whether this effect existed at the proximal site in these mice as we 239 were not able to reasonably measure the endosteal surface at the 240 proximal location due to trabeculae disrupting the majority of the 241 endosteal surface in the HBM mice. The increased load-induced 242 bone formation rates on the endocortical surface of G171V mice, 243 but not of WT mice, suggest that less strain was required to activate 244 bone formation in these mice, i.e., a lower strain threshold for the 245 endocortical surface appears to exist in the G171V mice. 246

Discussion

We investigated whether the Lrp5 HBM-causing missense muta- 248 tions A214V and G171V, when expressed at naturally-occurring levels 249 and in physiologically routine cell types, confer enhanced bone for- 250 mation responsiveness to mechanical loading. Our broader goal was 251 to shed some light on whether enhanced osteo-anabolic responsive- 252 ness to everyday loading events (e.g., locomotion, physical activity) 253 might explain a portion of the mechanisms that induce the HBM phe- 254 notype. We found that while both HBM mutations improved 255 mechanotransduction beyond the efficiency observed in WT mice, 256 the A214V and G171V exerted their effects on this process differently. 257 The A214V mutation, but not the G171V mutation, was associated 258 with an enhanced response to mechanical loading on the periosteal 259 surface, when compared to WT mice. On the endosteal surface, we 260 observed that the G171V mutation, but not the A214V mutation or 261 the WT allele, conferred increased bone formation in response to 262 loading, suggesting that the strain threshold for endocortical bone 263 formation was lowered by the G171V mutation. 264

Load induced bone formation was observed in two of the three lo- 265 cations along the tibial diaphysis. The distal site (75%) was not re- 266 sponsive to mechanical loading among any of the mouse genotypes, 267 with the exception of periosteal MAR in the A214V mice. These find- 268 ings suggest that the tibia axial loading model imposes an insufficient 269 amount of strain to this site to reliably induce bone formation. Alter- 270 natively, the lack of bone curvature in the distal diaphysis, and the 271 consequent lack of bending that would be expected from an axial 272 load, might account for the non-responsiveness. In support of this ex- 273 planation, the proximal site that we analyzed (25%) was equally dis- 274 tant from the closest joint surface as was the distal site, yet the 275 curvature is much greater in the proximal end, and the proximal 276 site responded robustly to the loading stimulus whereas the distal 277 site did not. Others have also reported reduced or non-significant 278 loading effects at the distal tibia using the tibia axial loading model 279 [28,29]. 280

We have previously shown that a reduction in sclerostin expres- 281 sion is temporally and spatially associated with mechanical strain 282 magnitude, indicating that a loss of sclerostin-mediated Lrp5 sup- 283 pression might play a role in the cellular signaling cascade that 284 leads to load-induced bone formation [30]. This hypothesis is further 285 strengthened by our recent ulnar loading experiments in ^{8kb}Dmp1:: 286 hSOST transgenic mice (an 8 kb fragment of the Dentin matrix pro- 287 tein 1 (Dmp1) promoter driving expression of human SOST), which 288 exhibit a severe loss of mechanotransduction, similar to Lrp5 knock- 289 out mice [31]. Presumably, these mice have increased expression/ 290 release of Lrp5 agonists (e.g., Wnt molecules), but the unrepressed 291 transgenic expression of sclerostin prevents Wnt signaling through 292 Lrp5. In vitro, it has been shown that several Lrp5 HBM mutations 293 are resistant to Dkk1 and sclerostin binding [17,19,32]. Thus, if (1) 294 HBM mutations confer immunity to sclerostin-mediated inhibition, 295 and (2) loss of sclerostin is the sole determinant of whether 296 mechanotransduction will occur, then we would expect to find 297 equal bone formation in the loaded and non-loaded limbs of our 298 HBM knock-in mice. In other words, the knock-in mice would be 299 experiencing a constant mechanical loading signal in all bones, since 300 they would not be inhibited by sclerostin (similar to removing 301 sclerostin as a result of loading in WT mice). Because we observed 302

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

247

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.J. Niziolek et al. / Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx





Fig. 3. On the periosteal surface, the Lrp5 A214V mutation conferred enhanced bone formation compared to WT mice. R–L (loaded–non-loaded limb) bone formation parameters indicate that at the proximal location (25% of length along tibial axis) the A214V mutation conferred an enhanced response to mineral apposition rate (MAR), mineralizing surface (MS/BS), and bone formation rate (BFR/BS) when compared to WT mice. At the midshaft, R–L MAR and R–L BFR/BS were both significantly enhanced by the A214V mutation. No differences in response were observed at the distal location (75% along tibial axis) nor for the G171V mutation at any periosteal location. * = p < 0.05 vs WT student's *t*-test, n = 7-8 per group.

load-induced bone gain in the knock-ins, our data indicate that 303 mechanotransduction through the Lrp5 receptor requires not only 304 the removal of Lrp5 inhibition, but also the upregulation/secretion 305 of ligand(s) for enhanced receptor activation, i.e., release of Wnts or 306 other Lrp5 agonists. Thus, the signaling mechanisms can be thought 307 of as a two-arm process: reduction of Lrp5 inhibitors and enhance-308 309 ment of Lrp5 activators. If either arm is compromised, it is likely that mechanotransduction will be compromised. 310

Published data on the Lrp5 G171V transgenic mouse (^{3.6kb}CollαI::
 G171V) report an enhancement in mechanical loading responsiveness
 through a lowered threshold for bone formation [24,33]. Our Lrp5
 G171V knock-in mice had a significant load-induced response on the

endocortical surface, but the same amount of mechanical strain applied 315 to WT mice did not elicit an endocortical response. Thus, our results 316 support the previously advanced hypothesis that the Lrp5 G171V muta-117 tion lowers the mechanical strain threshold for bone formation. Fur-118 thermore, we have reported that our two Lrp5 HBM mutant mice 319 have a different phenotype from one another, with G171V mice prefer-120 entially adding more bone endosteally to result in a smaller medullary 321 area than WT mice, and the A214V preferentially adding more bone 322 periosteally to result in a larger total cross section than WT mice [26]. 323 In that study, we observed no difference in non-loaded limb marrow 324 area in G171V compared to WT, but A214V had an increased marrow 325 area compared to wild type. Given the thicker cortices and smaller 326

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023 6

ARTICLE IN PRESS

marrow space of the G171V mice, we calculated the strains on the endocortical surface to be ~15% lower than those calculated for WT mice (data not shown). Despite these lower strains, the G171V mice were responsive to tibial loading, whereas WT mice were not.

On the periosteal surface, we did not observe an enhanced bone 331 formation response in the G171V compared to WT mice, which differs 332 from Akhter et al.'s report of an enhanced periosteal formation surface 333 response per unit strain for the G171V transgenic mice [24]. This dif-334 335 ference might be due to their use of two different values of peak strain; the same peak load was applied to both genotypes, instead of using 336 337 different loads to apply the same peak strain as we did in this experiment [33]. Moreover, the loading models employed were different; 338 339 we used the axial tibial model, which produces axial compression and bending, whereas Akhter et al. used the 4-point bending model, 340 which produces a nearly pure bending moment in the tibial midshaft. 341 A more likely explanation, however, is the difference in receptor 342 expression between the two models. The ^{3.6kb}CollαI::G171V 343 mouse has much higher levels of receptor expression than WT 344 mouse. In fact, overexpression of WT LRP5 (^{3.6kb}ColIaI::LRP5), at 345 levels similar to those found in the high-expressing ^{3.6kb}ColIaI:: 346 G171V line, resulted in significantly increased bone mass. Those 347 data suggest that receptor number, independent of its mutation 348 349 state, can bone mass significantly. However, the much more robust phenotype of the ^{3.6kb}CollαI::G171V transgenics indicates that the 350 HBM mutation has a much more dramatic effect on bone mass 351 than the WT allele. Nonetheless, it is unclear if the $^{3.6kb}ColI\alpha I$:: 352 LRP5 mice would have enhanced responsiveness to loading. Sec-353 ondly, the 3.6kbCollal promoter fragment directs HBM over-354 expression to a specific population of cells, which might not 355 normally express the receptor. This might explain their periosteal 356 phenotype, whereas our G171V phenotype was largely endocortical. 357 Further, the ^{3.6kb}Collal::G171V mice overexpress the HBM gene 358 359 with concurrent expression of endogenous Lrp5, both of which would be expected to be activated (perhaps differently) upon load-360 ing. Our HBM knock-in mice do not express any WT Lrp5 so they 361 are not affected by this issue. A more recent publication using com-362 pressive axial loading of the tibia in the same G171V overexpresser 363 mouse model as used by Akhter et al., reported that the $^{3.6kb}$ Coll α l:: 364 G171V transgene significantly enhanced loading effects on the 365 endocortical surface (as measured by load-induced change in medul-366 lary area) but not on the periosteal surface (as measured by load-367 induced change in total area) [13]. This surface-specific loading result 368 for the G171V transgenic model is similar to that found in our G171V 369 knock-in model. Interestingly, Saxon et al. found a sex-specific tibial 370 loading effect for Lrp5 knockout mice, where the male Lrp5 knockouts 371 showed no response to loading but the female knockouts were diffi-372 373 cult to interpret [13]. One of the limitations of the present study is that we examined load-induced bone formation only in males; thus 374 we are unable to address sex-specific effects of the knock-in alleles 375 in mechanotransduction. 376

It should be noted that we also measured proximal tibia trabecular 377 378 bone formation rates via fluorochrome histomorphometry (using the 379 same pair of labels used for the cortical rates), and also static parameters of the proximal tibia trabecular meshwork (e.g., BV/TV, Tb.N) via 380 µCT, but neither of these analyses produced a significant loading effect 381 382 in the mice (data not shown). This observation is not unexpected since 383 (1) our 3-day loading schedule was designed for histomorphometric detection of bone formation rate changes and not for more dramatic 384 changes needed for detection by μ CT, and (2) the labeling schedule 385 386 employed was designed to capture the more slow-growing cortical bone, rather than the more rapidly accumulating trabecular bone in 387 the proximal tibia. 388

In conclusion, we found that the bone formation response to mechanical loading was surface-specific, depending on the Lrp5 alleles present. For all Lrp5 genotypes, we found a significant increase in bone formation parameters between the loaded and non-loaded limbs on the periosteal surface. However, the A214V mutation, but 393 not G171V, was associated with an enhanced periosteal response to 394 mechanical loading at the proximal and midshaft tibial locations, 395 compared to WT. Conversely, only the G171V mice achieved a signif-396 icant increase in bone formation on the endosteal surface at the 397 midshaft, suggesting a lowered threshold for bone formation in 398 these mice. These results support the pivotal role of Lrp5 in bone 399 mechanotransduction, and suggest that although both mutations 400 generate a high bone mass phenotype, there may be differences in 401 the actual mechanism that governs their achievement of this HBM 402 phenotype.

References

- Robling AG, Hinant FM, Burr DB, Turner CH. Improved bone structure and 405 strength after long-term mechanical loading is greatest if loading is separated 406 into short bouts. J Bone Miner Res 2002;17(8):1545–54.
- [2] Warden SJ, Turner CH. Mechanotransduction in the cortical bone is most efficient 408 at loading frequencies of 5–10 Hz. Bone 2004;34(2):261–70. 409
- [3] Lin C, et al. Sclerostin mediates bone response to mechanical unloading through 410 antagonizing Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. J Bone Miner Res 2009;24(10): 411 1651–61.
- [4] Robinson JA, et al. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is a normal physiological response 413 to mechanical loading in bone. J Biol Chem 2006;281(42):31720–8. 414
- [5] Bonewald LF, Johnson ML. Osteocytes, mechanosensing and Wnt signaling. Bone 415 2008;42(4):606–15. 416
- [6] Hens JR, et al. TOPGAL mice show that the canonical Wnt signaling pathway is 417 active during bone development and growth and is activated by mechanical 418 loading in vitro. J Bone Miner Res 2005;20(7):1103–13.
- [7] Babij P, et al. High bone mass in mice expressing a mutant LRP5 gene. J Bone 420 Miner Res 2003;18(6):960-74. 421
- [8] Boyden LM, et al. High bone density due to a mutation in LDL-receptor-related 422 protein 5. N Engl J Med 2002;346(20):1513–21.
- [9] Little RD, et al. A mutation in the LDL receptor-related protein 5 gene results in 424 the autosomal dominant high-bone-mass trait. Am J Hum Genet 2002;70(1): 425 11–9. 426
- [10] Ai MR, Heeger S, Bartels CF, Schelling DK. Clinical and molecular findings in 427 osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2005;77(5):741-53. 428
- [11] Gong Y, et al. LDL receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) affects bone accrual and eye 429 development. Cell 2001;107(4):513–23.
 430
- [12] Sawakami K, et al. The Wnt co-receptor LRP5 is essential for skeletal 431 mechanotransduction but not for the anabolic bone response to parathyroid 432 hormone treatment. J Biol Chem 2006;281(33):23698–711. 433
- [13] Saxon LK, Jackson BF, Sugiyama T, Lanyon LE, Price JS. Analysis of multiple bone 434 responses to graded strains above functional levels, and to disuse, in mice in 435 vivo show that the human Lrp5 G171V High Bone Mass mutation increases the 436 osteogenic response to loading but that lack of Lrp5 activity reduces it. Bone 437 2011;49(2):184–93. 438
- [14] Kiel DP, et al. Genetic variation at the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related 439 protein 5 (LRP5) locus modulates Wht signaling and the relationship of physical 440 activity with bone mineral density in men. Bone 2007;40(3):587–96. 441
- [15] Johnson ML, et al. Linkage of a gene causing high bone mass to human chromosome 11 (11q12-13). Am J Hum Genet 1997;60(6):1326–32. 443
- [16] Van Wesenbeeck L, et al. Six novel missense mutations in the LDL receptor- 444 related protein 5 (LRP5) gene in different conditions with an increased bone den- 445 sity. Am J Hum Genet 2003;72(3):763–71. 446
- [17] Ai M, Holmen SL, Van Hul W, Williams BO, Warman ML. Reduced affinity to and 447 inhibition by DKK1 form a common mechanism by which high bone mass-448 associated missense mutations in LRP5 affect canonical Wnt signaling. Mol Cell 449 Biol 2005;25(12):4946–55. 450
- Bhat BM, et al. Structure-based mutation analysis shows the importance of LRP5 451 beta-propeller 1 in modulating Dkk1-mediated inhibition of Wnt signaling. 452 Gene 2007;391(1-2):103-12.
- [19] Balemans W, et al. The binding between sclerostin and LRP5 is altered by 454 DKK1 and by high-bone mass LRP5 mutations. Calcif Tissue Int 2008;82(6): 455 445–53.
- [20] Ellies DL, et al. Bone density ligand, Sclerostin, directly interacts with LRP5 but not 457 LRP5G171V to modulate Wnt activity. J Bone Miner Res 2006;21(11):1738–49. 458
- [21] Li X, et al. Sclerostin binds to LRP5/6 and antagonizes canonical Wnt signaling. 459 J Biol Chem 2005;280(20):19883–7. 460
- [22] Semenov M, Tamai K, He X. SOST is a ligand for LRP5/LRP6 and a Wnt signaling 461 inhibitor. J Biol Chem 2005;280(29):26770–5. 462
- [23] Semenov MV, He X. LRP5 mutations linked to high bone mass diseases cause 463 reduced LRP5 binding and inhibition by SOST. J Biol Chem 2006;281(50): 464 38276–84.
- [24] Akhter MP, et al. Bone biomechanical properties in LRP5 mutant mice. Bone 466 2004;35(1):162–9. 467
- [25] Cui Y, et al. Lrp5 functions in bone to regulate bone mass. Nat Med 2011;17(6): 468 684-91. 469
- [26] Niziolek PJ, et al. High-bone-mass-producing mutations in the Wnt signaling 470 pathway result in distinct skeletal phenotypes. Bone 2011;49(5):1010–9. 471

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023

404 **O7**

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

P.J. Niziolek et al. / Bone xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

- 472 [27] De Souza RL, et al. Non-invasive axial loading of mouse tibiae increases cortical bone formation and modifies trabecular organization: a new model to study cortical and cancellous compartments in a single loaded element. Bone 2005;37(6):810–8.
- and cancellous compartments in a single loaded element. Bone 2005;37(6):810–8.
 Fritton JC, Myers ER, Wright TM, van der Meulen MC. Loading induces site-specific increases in mineral content assessed by microcomputed tomography of the

477

- increases in mineral content assessed by microcomputed tomography of the mouse tibia. Bone 2005;36(6):1030–8.
 [29] Fritton JC, Myers ER, Wright TM, van der Meulen MC. Bone mass is preserved and
- Fritton JC, Myers ER, Wright TM, van der Meulen MC. Bone mass is preserved and
 cancellous architecture altered due to cyclic loading of the mouse tibia after
 orchidectomy. J Bone Miner Res 2008;23(5):663–71.
- [30] Robling AG, et al. Mechanical stimulation of bone in vivo reduces osteocyte 481 expression of Sost/sclerostin. J Biol Chem 2008;283(9):5866–75. 482
- [31] Tu X, et al. Sost downregulation and local Wnt signaling are required for the osteogenic response to mechanical loading. Bone 2011. 484 **Q8**
- [32] Balemans W, et al. Novel LRP5 missense mutation in a patient with a high bone 485 mass phenotype results in decreased DKK1-mediated inhibition of Wnt signaling. 486 J Bone Miner Res 2007;22(5):708–16. 487
- [33] Cullen DM, et al. Bone sensitivity to mechanical loads with the Lrp5 HBM muta-488 tion. J Bone Miner Res 2002;17:S332–S. 489

Please cite this article as: Niziolek PJ, et al, Mechanotransduction in bone tissue: The A214V and G171V mutations in Lrp5 enhance loadinduced osteogenesis in a surface-selective manner, Bone (2012), doi:10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.023